

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/757,738	01/09/2001	William L. Bong	ARC 01.002	7735
48008 75	590 01/12/2006		EXAM	INER
VIRTUAL LEGAL, P.C.			KERNS, KEVIN P	
MICHAEL A. I 3476 EXECUT	KERR IVE POINTE WAY, UNIT	16	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CARSON CITY			1725	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/757,738	BONG, WILLIAM L.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kevin P. Kerns	1725	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the process of the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 🏻	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 L	December 2005.		
		s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
•	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	١.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)į	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	to have been received		
	 Certified copies of the priority documen Certified copies of the priority documen 		ion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
	application from the International Burea		ed in this Hational Stage	
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.	
Attachman	We)			
Attachmen	u(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)	
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F 6) ☐ Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-, <u>-</u>		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burden (US 3,243,568) in view of Wada et al. (US 4,208,564), and further in view of Saito et al. (JP 3-297587).

Burden discloses an electric welding process and apparatus for electroslag welding, in which an insulated consumable guide tube is comprised of elongated strips 41 and 42 (with front and back faces) and has plural longitudinal channels to receive welding wires (column 1, lines 11-14 and 53-72; column 2, lines 1-72; column 3, lines 1-25 and 54-71; column 4, lines 1-29; and Figures 1-10). The guide tubes would selectively be bare or given an insulating coating of slag forming material, and are selected in a plurality of arrangements in terms of dimensions and geometries, which are illustrated in Figures 5 and 10, and would furthermore be selected as representatives of routine choices by one of ordinary skill in the art depending on welding conditions (column 4, lines 25-28; and Figures 5-10). The triangular shape limitation of claim 6 is considered to be representative of an arbitrary choice for the shape of the electrode guide cross section shown in Figure 9. Burden does not disclose

Application/Control Number: 09/757,738

Art Unit: 1725

the plural insulator modules, with at least one of the plural insulator modules configured to melt into a molten flux puddle.

However, Wada et al. disclose a nozzle structure of electroslag welding machines, in which nozzle plate 117 contains a plurality of insulator modules 120 (of varying numbers and heights, as shown in Figure 5), for the purpose of preventing a short circuit in the weld gap between the nozzle plate 117 and the surfaces of the planks to be welded (abstract; column 1, lines 9-13 and 53-68; column 2, lines 1-9; column 3, lines 39-52; and Figures 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify the electric welding process and apparatus for electroslag welding, as disclosed by Burden, by adding the plurality of insulator modules, as taught by Wada et al., in order to prevent a short circuit in the weld gap between the nozzle plate 117 and the surfaces of the planks to be welded (Wada et al.; column 3, lines 39-50).

Neither Burden nor Wada et al. discloses that at least one of the plural insulator modules is configured to melt into a molten flux puddle.

However, Saito et al. disclose an assembly comprising a flux ring and consumable nozzle for preventing swing in electroslag welding, in which the assembly includes a consumable nozzle 24 positioned between base materials (10,12), and a flux ring (26,40) that includes an insulating spacer (46,54) with projections 58 (insulator module assembly) for centering of the consumable nozzle 24, with at least the flux ring (26,40) portion of the insulating spacer assembly being configured to melt into a molten

Art Unit: 1725

flux puddle 33, for the purpose of preventing melting imbalance between the base materials (abstract; and Figures 1-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify the electric welding process and apparatus for electroslag welding, as disclosed by Burden, by adding the plurality of insulator modules, as taught by Wada et al., in order to prevent a short circuit in the weld gap between the nozzle plate 117 and the surfaces of the planks to be welded, and by further using at least one of the plural insulator modules configured to melt into a molten flux puddle, as disclosed by Saito et al., in order to prevent melting imbalance between the base materials (Saito et al.; abstract).

Regarding the newly amended limitations to independent claims 1, 9, and 13

("clearance...for said consumable guide tube to oscillate"), a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this instance, the capability/functionality of the consumable guide tube to oscillate within a weld gap (or welding cavity) does not impart further structural limitations to the guide tube itself.

Response to Arguments

3. The examiner acknowledges the applicant's amendment/response provided with the request for continued examination received by the USPTO on December 15, 2005.

Art Unit: 1725

The applicant's amendment has overcome the prior claim objection to claim 9. Claims 1-20 remain under consideration in the application.

4. Applicant's arguments filed December 15, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the applicant's remarks/arguments on pages 8-10 of the amendment, the applicant is referred to the newly underlined paragraph in section 2, as the newly amended limitations to independent claims 1, 9, and 13 ("clearance...for said consumable guide tube to oscillate") do not provide further structural limitations to the respective consumable guide tubes. As a result, the applicant is suggested to insert "in a weld gap" after "guide tube" in the 1st line of claims 1 and 13 (while also changing "a weld gap" to "the weld gap" in the last line of these claims), and to insert "in a welding cavity" after "guide tube" in the 1st line of claim 9, to obtain favorable consideration in view of the prior art. Amending claims 1, 9, and 13 in this manner imparts structural limitations to each of these consumable guide tubes.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,738 Page 6

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin P. Kerns Lerin Kews 1/6/06 Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

kpk

January 6, 2006